#### Black hole scattering: the self-force approach

Chris Whittall

QCD meets Gravity IX CERN, 14th December 2023



### Talk outline

- A) Introduction to self-force
- B) Self-force in black hole scattering
- C) Frequency-domain appproach
- D) Ongoing work

# PART A: Introduction to self-force

#### **Reviews:**

L. Barack & A. Pound, *Self-force and radiation reaction in general relativity*, 2019 Rep. Prog. Phys. **82** 016904 [arXiv:1805.10385]

E. Poisson, A. Pound & I. Vega, *The Motion of Point Particles in Curved Spacetime*, Living Rev. Relativ. **14**, 7 (2011) [arXiv:1102.0529]

#### The 2-body problem in GR: approaches



Image credit: L. Barack & A. Pound

# Extreme mass ratio inspirals (EMRIs)

• Highly asymmetric compact binaries. Typical mass ratios

$$q \sim rac{10 M_\odot}{10^6 M_\odot} = 10^{-5} \ll 1$$
 (1)

 Inspiral slow compared to orbital periods:

 $T_{\rm RR} \sim T_{\rm orb}/q \gg T_{\rm orb}.$  (2)

 Large number of gravitational wavecycles in LISA band before merger:

$$N_{
m orb} \sim 1/q \sim 10^5.$$
 (3)

Created using KerrGeodesics package from BHP toolkit.



- Orbital dynamics complicated. Geodesics tri-periodic and generically ergodic.
- EMRIs offer a precision probe of strong-field geometry around black-holes.

# Self-force principles



- Motion of small body given effective representation in background spacetime of the larger object.
  - No need for ad hoc regularisation procedures. EOM derived using *matched asymptotic expansions* [Mino, Sasaki & Tanaka 1997].
  - No need to *assume* a point-particle description; effective point-particle description is *derived*.

# 1SF equation of motion

• Metric perturbation split into "direct" and "tail" contributions:

$$g^{
m phys}_{lphaeta} = g_{lphaeta} + h^{
m direct}_{lphaeta} + h^{
m tail}_{lphaeta}.$$
 (4



• Only  $h_{\alpha\beta}^{\rm tail}$  contributes to the self-force:

$$m\frac{D^2 z^{\alpha}}{d\tau^2} = m\nabla^{\alpha\beta\gamma} h_{\beta\gamma}^{\text{tail}}\Big|_{z(\tau)} =: F_{\text{self}}^{\alpha},$$
(5)

#### where

$$\nabla^{\alpha\beta\gamma}h_{\gamma\beta} := -\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\alpha\beta} + u^{\alpha}u^{\beta}\right)u^{\gamma}u^{\delta}\left(2\nabla_{\delta}h_{\beta\gamma} - \nabla_{\beta}h_{\gamma\delta}\right). \quad (6)$$

# Computational approach: mode-sum regularisation

• Singular field subtracted mode-by-mode in a spherical harmonic expansion around the large BH:

$$F_{\text{self}}(\tau) = m \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[ \left( \nabla h^{\text{ret}} \right)^{\ell} - \left( \nabla h^{\text{direct}} \right)^{\ell} \right]_{z(\tau)}$$
(7)  
$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[ m \left( \nabla h^{\text{ret}} \right)^{\ell} \Big|_{z(\tau)} - A(z)\ell - B(z) - C(z)/\ell \right] - D(z).$$

- Regularization parameters: derived analytically for generic Kerr orbits. [Barack & Ori 2000-03]
- Numerical input: modes of h<sup>ret</sup><sub>αβ</sub> calculated numerically by solving perturbation equations with point-particle source and retarded BCs.
- Can accelerate convergence by subtracting additional parameters.

8 / 32

#### PART B: Self-force in black hole scattering

L. Barack & O. Long, *Self-force correction to the deflection angle in black-hole scattering: A scalar charge toy model*, Phys. Rev. D **106** 104031 (2022) [arXiv:2209.03740].

L. Barack, Z. Bern, E. Herrmann, O. Long, J. Parra-Martinez & R. Roiban, M. Ruf, C. Shen, M. Solon, F. Teng & M. Zeng, *Comparison of post-Minkowskian and self-force expansions: Scattering in a scalar charge toy model*, Phys. Rev. D **108** 024025 (2023) [arXiv:2304.09200].

#### Scatter orbits

Particle starts at radial infinity at early times with velocity v and *impact* parameter b:

$$b = \lim_{\tau \to -\infty} r_p(\tau) \sin |\varphi_p(\tau) - \varphi_p(-\infty)|.$$
(8)

Provided  $b > b_c(v)$ , particle scatters off central black hole, approaching to within periapsis distance  $r_{\min}$ .

# Why study scattering?

- Theoretical grounds:
  - Can probe sub-ISCO region even at low velocities; down to light ring r = 3M with large v.
  - 2 Scattering angle  $\chi(b, v)$  defined unambiguously, even with radiation.
- Boundary-to-bound relations between scatter and bound orbit observables, derived using effective-field-theory. [Kalin & Porto 2020]
- $\chi_{1SF}$  determines **full** conservative dynamics to 4PM, valid at *any* mass ratio. Extend to 6PM with  $\chi_{2SF}$  [Damour 2020]. PM expansion of  $\chi$  can be used to calibrate effective-one-body models [Damour 2016].
- Can compare SF results with analytical PM for mutual validation; benchmark/calibrate PM in strong-field.

Self-force corrections to the scatter angle

• The self-force correction is defined by

$$\delta \chi := \chi(b, v) - \chi_0(b, v) = O(q), \tag{9}$$

where  $\chi_0 := \lim_{q \to 0} \chi$  is the scatter angle of the geodesic with the same (b, v).

• Correction expressed as integral over the worldline, [Barack & Long 2022]

$$\delta \chi = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A_{\alpha}(\tau; b, v) F_{\text{self}}^{\alpha}(\tau) d\tau.$$
(10)

At O(q), integral may be evaluated along limiting geodesic.

#### Conservative and dissipative effects

We can split the self-force into conservative and dissipative pieces,

$$F_{\rm cons}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ F_{\rm self}^{\alpha}(h^{\rm ret}) + F_{\rm self}^{\alpha}(h^{\rm adv}) \right], \tag{11}$$

$$F_{\rm diss}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ F_{\rm self}^{\alpha}(h^{\rm ret}) - F_{\rm self}^{\alpha}(h^{\rm adv}) \right], \tag{12}$$

and consider their effects separately, [Barack & Long 2022]

$$\delta\chi_{\rm cons} = \int_0^{+\infty} A_\alpha^{\rm cons}(\tau; b, v) F_{\rm cons}^\alpha(\tau) d\tau, \qquad (13)$$

$$\delta\chi_{\rm diss} = \int_0^{+\infty} A_\alpha^{\rm diss}(\tau; b, v) F_{\rm diss}^\alpha(\tau) d\tau.$$
(14)

# Scalar-field toy model in Schwarzschild

• Toy model: scalar charge Q with mass m moving in a background Schwarzschild spacetime of mass M:

$$\nabla^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}\Phi = -4\pi Q \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\delta^{4}(x - z(\tau))}{\sqrt{-g(x)}} d\tau, \qquad (15)$$
$$\frac{D\rho^{\alpha}}{d\tau} = Q\nabla^{\alpha}\Phi^{\text{tail}} =: F_{\text{self}}^{\alpha}. \qquad (16)$$

- Scalar-field calculation captures the main challenges of gravitational self-force calculations, in a simpler overall framework.
- Parameter  $q_s := Q^2/(mM) \ll 1$  takes the role of the mass ratio. Integral formulae for  $\delta \chi$  essentially unchanged.
- First numerical calculations by Long & Barack using their (1+1)D time-domain code for the self-force.



Chris Whittall (Southampton)

CERN 14th Dec 2023 15 / 32

#### PM comparisons [Barack et al 2023]



#### PM comparisons [Barack et al 2023]



Chris Whittall (Southampton)

# PART C: Frequency-domain approach

C. Whittall & L. Barack, *Frequency-domain approach to self-force in hyperbolic scattering*, Phys. Rev. D **108** 064017 (2023) [arXiv:2305.09724].

#### Frequency-domain methods

• Fields are additionally decomposed into Fourier harmonics, e.g.

$$\psi_{\ell m}(t,r) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi_{\ell m \omega}(r) e^{-i\omega t}.$$
 (17)

- Many frequency-domain (FD) self-force codes in existence for bound orbits. Valued for their accuracy and efficiency.
- FD methods expected to retain these advantages when moving to unbound orbits, but challenges must be overcome:
  - Continuous spectrum.
  - Source with non-compact radial support.
  - Cancellation during TD reconstruction.

We use a scalar-field toy model in Schwarzschild to investigate and manage these problems.

Extended homogeneous solutions [Barack, Ori & Sago 2008]

- Gibbs phenomenon: impractical to reconstruct SF modes from physical inhomogeneous solution  $\psi_{\ell m\omega}(r)$ .
- Method of Extended Homogeneous Solutions restores exponential, uniform convergence.



#### Extended homogeneous solutions: unbound orbits

- Physical time-domain field is reconstructed piecewise from **homogeneous** solutions.
- For example, SF modes in the "internal" region r ≤ r<sub>p</sub>(t) reconstructed from

$$\tilde{\psi}_{\ell m\omega}^{-}(\mathbf{r}) := C_{\ell m\omega}^{-} \psi_{\ell\omega}^{-}(\mathbf{r}), \qquad (18)$$

where normalisation the factor  $C_{\ell m\omega}^-$  is such that EHS and physical field coincide in  $r \leq r_{\min}$ .

• For unbound orbits, EHS cannot be used to reconstruct field in the "external" region  $r > r_p(t)$ .

We use EHS and one-sided mode-sum regularisation

#### Truncation problem

• Normalisation factor  $C_{\ell m\omega}^-$  can be expressed as an integral over the (unbounded) radial extent of the orbit:

$$C_{\ell m \omega}^{-} = \int_{r_{\min}}^{+\infty} \frac{\psi_{\ell \omega}^{+}(r') S_{\ell m \omega}(r')}{W_{\ell \omega} f(r')} dr'.$$
(19)

- Slow, oscillatory convergence: problems truncating at finite  $r_{max}$ .
- Developed solutions:
  - Tail corrections: use large-r approximation to integrand to derive analytical estimates to the neglected tail.
  - Integration by parts (IBP): use IBP to increase decay rate of integrand.



22 / 32



Example  $C^-_{\ell m \omega}$  spectra for orbit E = 1.1,  $r_{\min} = 4M$ . Note QNM features.



# Self-force: regularisation tests



FD code agrees better with regularisation parameters at this radius

$$F_{\rm self}(\tau) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[ q \left( \nabla \Phi^{\rm ret} \right)^{\ell} \Big|_{z(\tau)} - A(z)\ell - B(z) - C(z)/\ell - H.O.P \right] - D(z)$$

24 / 32

# Cancellation problem

- Significant cancellation between low-frequency modes at large ℓ and r.
- Caused by unphysical growth of the EHS field.
- Problem intrinsic to EHS approach. Afflicts scatter calculations more severely than bound orbit case.



Partially mitigate using dynamic  $\ell\text{-truncation}$  in the mode-sum.

#### Self-force: along orbit



Gradual loss of accuracy along orbit due to progressive loss of  $\ell$ -modes.

26 / 32

# PART D: Ongoing work

3

# Analytical calculation at large r (preliminary)

- Supplement FD code with analytic expansion of the SF in 1/r.
- Makes use of a hierarchical expansion, [Barack 1998]

$$\psi_{\ell m}(u,v) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \psi_N(u,v), \qquad (20)$$

$$\psi_{0,uv} + V_0(r)\psi_0 = S(u,v),$$
 (21)

$$\psi_{N,uv} + V_0(r)\psi_N = -\delta V(r)\psi_{N-1}$$
 (N > 0), (22)

where  $V_0(r)$  approximates asymptotic behaviour of exact potential V(r), and  $\delta V(r) := V(r) - V_0(r)$ .

ψ<sub>0</sub> (complete) does not contribute to SF; ψ<sub>1</sub> (underway) gives leading large-r behaviour.

# PM resummation (preliminary)

• As 
$$b \to b_c(v)$$
,  
 $\chi_0 \sim A(v) \log \left(1 - \frac{b_c(v)}{b}\right) + \operatorname{const}(v), \quad \delta \chi_{1SF} \sim q_s B(v) \frac{b_c(v)}{b - b_c(v)}.$ 

A(v) known analytically; B(v) inferred from SF calculations.

Consider the function

$$\Psi^{n\mathrm{PM}} = A\left[\log\left(1 - \frac{b_c(1 - q_s B/A)}{b}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{b_c(1 - q_s B/A)}{b}\right)^k\right]$$

- We define the resummed scatter angle  $\tilde{\chi}^{n\mathrm{PM}} := \chi^{n\mathrm{PM}} + \Psi^{n\mathrm{PM}}$ .
  - Agrees with  $\chi^{nPM}$  through nPM order.
  - Matches the 0SF and 1SF divergences near separatrix.

# PM resummation (preliminary)



# PM resummation: additional developments (preliminary)

- **High velocities:** large-*l* modes become more important at higher velocities.
  - Possibly related to relativistic beaming of radiation.
  - Effect strongest near periapsis.
  - ► FD code can get ℓ ≥ 15 modes near periapsis.
  - Developing FD/TD hybrid method.



# Direct approach: express B(v) as integral over critical orbit, b = b<sub>c</sub>(v).

- Only need to calculate SF along critical orbit. More accurate and efficient than fitting.
- FD approach needs to handle a discrete, distributional piece of the spectrum arising from asymptotic circular orbit.

#### Prospects

- Analytical results for SF at large *r*: useful for both TD and FD approaches.
- Improved TD methods also under development, including spectral methods with hyperboloidal slicing and compactification.
- Routes to gravity?
  - Direct Lorenz-gauge calculation [Ackay, Warburton, Barack 2013]
  - Radiation-gauge reconstruction [Pound, Merlin, Barack 2013]
  - ► Lorenz-gauge reconstruction [Dolan, Durkan, Kavanagh, Wardell 2023]
- Second order?
  - Easier than bound? No disparate timescales.
  - Would give conservative dynamics to 6PM.
  - Some way off.